

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) No. 22-05035-01/02-CR-SW-SRB
)
AMBER WATERMAN, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

ORDER

Counsel for Defendant, Amber Waterman (1), has filed a motion for continuance of the June 3, 2024 trial setting in the above-captioned case. (Doc. 70.)

In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial shall commence within 70 days from the filing of the information or indictment or the date of the defendant's first appearance, whichever comes last. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1) (the "Speedy Trial Act"). However, in computing the time within which trial of any offense must commence, any period of delay resulting from a continuance granted by the court at the request of a defendant or his or her counsel, which serves the ends of justice, shall be excluded from computing the time. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Speedy Trial Act requires that the court set forth its reasons for finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Factors which this Court shall consider in determining whether to grant a continuance include whether the failure to grant such a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice and deny reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Counsel for Defendant states that additional time is needed to review the discovery,

conduct legal research, conduct investigation, meet with the Defendant, and prepare for trial. Failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel for Defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Also, the defendants are charged jointly, with no severance granted. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial. It is therefore

ORDERED that Defendant's motion for continuance of the June 3, 2024 trial setting is granted; and it is further

ORDERED the above-captioned case is reset for jury trial on the Joint Criminal Trial Docket which commences on **October 21, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.**; and it is further

ORDERED that the pretrial conference set in this matter on May 8, 2024 is hereby cancelled; and it is further

ORDERED that the additional period of delay in commencing Defendant's trial caused by this continuance shall be excluded in computing the time within which this trial shall commence under the Speedy Trial Act.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ David P. Rush
DAVID P. RUSH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATE: May 3, 2024